

-1-

## IMPROVEMENT OF ETHANOL PRODUCTION FROM LIGNOCELLULOSE

### GOVERNMENT FUNDING

This invention was made, in part, with support by grants from the United States Department of Agriculture (95-37308-1843), the United States Department of Agriculture Cooperative Research Agreement (3620-41000-051-02S), the United States Department of Energy (DE-FG05-86ER13574) and the University of Florida. The government may have certain rights in the application.

### 10 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Ultrasound can be defined as sound waves above the range of human perception (Price, 1992). Currently, many ultrasonic technologies such as SONAR, medical diagnostics, and surface cleaners are available. SONAR and medical applications typically use low power and high frequency ( $\geq 1$  MHz). Surface cleaning applications, however, depend on ultrasonic cavitations created by lower frequency (20-50 KHz) and high power ultrasound.

Ultrasonic cavitations result from the rapid compression and expansion of a liquid. In the expansion phase, the liquid is "torn apart", resulting in the formation of voids or bubbles (Price, 1992; Leeman and Vaughan, 1992). These bubbles gradually increase in size until a critical size is reached, where critical size (usually 100-200 $\mu$ m in diameter) is dependent on the frequency of the oscillation and the presence of any nucleating agents, e.g., dissolved gasses, cracks and crevices on a solid surface, or suspended solids (Atchley and Crum, 1988; Price, 1992). Once its critical size is

reached, the bubble implodes, at times, generating temperatures approaching 5,500°C within the bubble (Suslick, 1989, Price, 1992). When collapse of a cavity occurs in a solution free of solid particles, heating is the only consequence. However, if implosion occurs near a solid surface, implosion is asymmetric. As water rushes to fill the void left by the imploding bubble (e.g., at speeds near 400 m/s) shock pressures of 1-5 Kpa can be generated (Suslick, 1988; Suslick, 1989; Price, 1992).

10 The physical effects of ultrasonic cavitations have been known since the early testing of the first British destroyer, the H.M.S. Daring, in 1894 (Suslick, 1990). The rapid revolution of a ship propeller creates the same, high frequency, compressions and expansions created by  
15 ultrasound (Suslick, 1989). Cavitations around the Daring's propeller caused pitting of the metals used. This effect of cavitations on metal surfaces has been confirmed in studies on ultrasonic cavitations (Leeman and Vaughan, 1992; Boudjouk, 1988). High intensity stirring, the  
20 dispersal of suspended solids, increased diffusion through cellulose gels, and emulsification of immiscible liquids are other effects attributable to ultrasonic cavitations (Ensminger, 1973).

The high temperatures, pressures and velocities  
25 produced by ultrasonic cavitation can also create unusual chemical environments (Suslick, 1989). Compounds in aqueous solution have been shown to form free radicals when subjected to ultrasound. Water, when subjected to  
ultrasound, creates  $H\cdot$  and  $\cdot OH$  intermediates, ultimately  
30 producing  $H_2$  and  $H_2O_2$  (Suslick, 1988). Other chemical effects can be caused by high velocity collisions driven by shock waves. The agglomeration of metallic particles in ultrasonic fields has been shown (Suslick, 1989; Suslick, 1990).

Ultrasonic surface cleaners have been available for use since the early 1950's (Shoh, 1988). The mechanism of the cleaning action is reliant on the formation of cavitation bubbles. The contaminant coat can be gradually eroded through cavitational action. Alternatively, the formation of cavitational bubbles between the coat and the surface, effectively peels the coat away from the surface. Other designs of ultrasonic cleaning systems have extremely high efficiency (>95%).

Most biological applications of ultrasonic technology have been directed towards the disruption of cell membranes (Shoh, 1988; Ausubel, 1996). One such device is Fisher Scientific's Model 550 Sonic Dismembrator. Recently, the effects of lower intensities of ultrasound on bacteria have been investigated. It has been shown that nonlethal doses of ultrasound may cause the induction of the SOS response and the transcription of heat shock proteins in *Escherichia coli* (Volmer et al., 1996). Some of the physical damage to *E. coli*, by ultrasonic cavitation, has been illustrated recently (Allison et al., 1996), showing the disruption of the plasma membrane and subsequent leakage of intracellular components.

In the fermentation of milk by *Lactobacillus bulgaricus*, the rate of lactose hydrolysis was increased with the use of discontinuous ultrasound (Wang et al., 1996). Presumably, the cause of the increased rate of hydrolysis was the release of intracellular enzymes into the media. After ultrasonic treatment was stopped, *L. bulgaricus* was able to recover and grow.

Recent interest in ultrasound has been shown by those involved in research in the paper industry investigating its uses as a de-inking device in the recycling of various office paper (Scott and Gerber, 1995; Sell et al., 1995; Norman et al., 1994). It was reported that, because of

ultrasonic treatment, the structure of the paper was changed such that its water holding capacity increased.

Besides the recycling of paper products, there is an interest in the fermentation of waste paper and other lignocellulosic products into ethanol. The production of ethanol from such products reduces environmental waste problems and reduces reliance on petroleum-based automotive fuels. (Hohmann and Rendleman, 1993; Sheehan, 1993). Accessibility of the substrate to cellulase is a primary factor influencing the efficiency of enzymatic degradation of cellulose (Nazhad et al., 1995).

Cellulase from *T. longibrachiatum* is known to bind to cellulose tightly (Brooks and Ingram, 1995). The binding has also been shown to be dependent on the intensity of agitation (Kaya et al., 1994). Similar effects were seen with an intensive mass transfer reactor, where extremely high rates of hydrolysis were achieved (Gusakov et al., 1996).

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Improved methods for enzymatically converting lignocellulose, for example, to ethanol, are desirable. This invention reports the use of ultrasonic treatment in a Simultaneous Saccharification and Fermentation (SSF) process to enhance the ability of cellulase to hydrolyze mixed office waste paper (MOWP), thereby reducing cellulase requirements by 1/3 to 1/2. SSF is a process wherein ethanologenic organisms, such as genetically engineered micro-organisms, such as *Escherichia coli* K011 (Ingram et al., 1991) and *Klebsiella oxytoca* P2 (Ingram et al., 1995), are combined with cellulase enzymes and lignocellulose to produce ethanol. Enzyme cost is a major problem for all SSF processes.

In conducting the invention, enzyme stability is not affected and, surprisingly, continuous ultrasonic treatment

1002250.122101  
T0122T 052200T

results in a decrease in hydrolysis relative to discontinuous treatment. One possible explanation is that the resultant mixing does not allow the cellulase to rebound cellulose long enough for catalysis to occur. Therefore, 5 time to allow catalysis between ultrasonic treatments is desired.

The SSF of waste office paper by *K. oxytoca* may also be "cycle dependent." Considering the inhibitory effect of ultrasound on the growth of *K. oxytoca* P2, a "recovery 10 period" appears to be desired. With variation in the treatment schedule, such as increasing or decreasing treatment time throughout the course of fermentation, further optimization of the fermentation can be possible.

The use of ultrasound in the conversion of cellulose 15 to ethanol represents a significant improvement in the SSF process. This is particularly true where lignin residues were used to generate the electricity required for the process. Ultrasound can be delivered in other manners as well, with liquid whistle systems, which are able to 20 increase the water holding capacity of recycled paper (Scott and Gerber, 1995). Such a device in a piping loop can produce the desired disruption of the fine structure of cellulose, with a lower energy input.

In one embodiment, the invention comprises a method 25 for the enzymatic degradation of lignocellulose, such as in the production of ethanol from lignocellulosic material, comprising subjecting the material to ultrasound, as in a continuously-operating ultrasonic device, cellulase enzymes, optionally an ethanologenic yeast or an 30 ethanologenic bacterium and/or a fermentable sugar, and maintaining the mixture thus formed under conditions suitable for the production of ethanol. In an alternative embodiment, the ultrasonic device is operated discontinuously.

10027250 422101

In a preferred embodiment, the ethanologenic organisms are organisms (particularly recombinant bacteria or yeast) which express one or more enzymes or enzyme systems which, in turn, catalyze (individually or in concert) the conversion of a sugar (e.g., xylose and/or glucose) to ethanol. Preferred ethanologenic organisms include species of Zymomonas, Erwinia, Klebsiella, Xanthomonas and Escherichia. In a highly preferred embodiment, the bacterium is *K. oxytoca* P2.

In another embodiment the ethanologenic yeast or ethanologenic bacterium contains enzymes that degrade lignocellulosic material, wherein the enzymes are released from the ethanologenic micro-organism by ultrasonic disruption.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 shows that ultrasonic treatment did not affect the activity of the added cellulase or  $\beta$ -glucosidase.

Figures 2A and 2B show the susceptibility of *K. oxytoca* P2 to ultrasonic damage.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

As described above, the invention relates to an improved method for the enzymatic hydrolysis of lignocellulose comprising subjecting an aqueous mixture containing lignocellulose with ultrasound; and contacting the mixture with a cellulase under conditions sufficient for hydrolysis. The aqueous mixture can be subjected to the ultrasound treatment continuously or discontinuously. Typically, the ultrasound will be conducted with commercially available equipment. Examples of suitable ultrasonic probes include the RS-20 Ultrasonic Tubular Resonator and the RG-36/RS-36 Tube Resonator Systems (Telsonic USA, Bridgeport, NJ). These ultrasonic probes

may be combined with ultrasonic generators to maintain desired operating parameters, such as operating frequency and power. Examples of suitable ultrasonic generators include the RG-20 Ultrasonic-Generator and the MRG-36-150  
5 Module-Cleaning-Generator (Telsonic USA, Bridgeport, NJ). The ultrasound treatment may be conducted at a wide-range of frequencies, all of which exhibit similar effects. For example, the frequency can be between above 2 and 200 kHz. The duration and conditions of the ultrasonic step is  
10 selected to avoid overheating of the mixture to a temperature at which significant amounts of the enzyme(s) will be denatured. Generally, the duration of the ultrasound treatment lasts between 10 minutes and 30 minutes. Without being limited in anyway by theory, the  
15 ultrasound treatment is typically sufficient to disrupt the crystalline structure of the lignocellulosic material.

The term "continuous" treatment is defined herein to include a single treatment with ultrasound for the duration of the enzymatic hydrolysis, i.e. there are no intermediary  
20 periods between or during enzymatic hydrolysis in which there is no ultrasound. The term "discontinuous" treatment is defined herein to include multiple treatments with ultrasound between or during enzymatic hydrolysis. In yet another embodiment, the ultrasonic treatment can be a  
25 single exposure to ultrasound prior to enzymatic hydrolysis.

The lignocellulose material can be obtained from lignocellulosic waste products, such as plant residues and waste paper. Examples of suitable plant residues include  
30 stems, leaves, hulls, husks, cobs and the like, as well as wood, wood chips, wood pulp, and sawdust. Examples of paper waste include discard photocopy paper, computer printer paper, notebook paper, notepad paper, typewriter paper, and the like, as well as newspapers, magazines,  
35 cardboard, and paper-based packaging materials.

10027250 122101

The aqueous mixture containing lignocellulose subjected to the ultrasonic treatment can further comprise a cellulase enzyme for the enzymatic hydrolysis. In yet another embodiment, the cellulase enzyme is added

5 subsequent to the ultrasound treatment. The cellulase can be provided as a purified enzyme or can be provided by a cellulase-producing microorganism in said aqueous mixture. Cellulases, as that term is used herein, includes any enzyme that effects the hydrolysis or otherwise solubilizes

10 cellulase (including insoluble cellulose and soluble products of cellulose). Cellulase enzymes, including purified enzyme preparations, organisms expressing the same, are known in the art. Suitable sources of cellulase include such commercial cellulase products as Spezyme™CP,

15 Cytolase™ M104, and Multifect™ CL (Genencor, South San Francisco, CA), and such organisms expressing cellulase as the recombinant bacterium of U.S. Patent No. 5,424,202, which is incorporated herein by reference.

The conditions for cellulase hydrolysis are typically

20 selected in consideration of the conditions suitable for the specific cellulase source, e.g, bacterial or fungal. For example, cellulase from fungal sources typically works best at temperatures between about 30°C and 48°C and a pH between about 4.0 and 6.0. In general, typical conditions

25 include a temperature between about 30°C and 60°C and a pH between about 4.0 and 8.0.

The aqueous mixture can further advantageously comprise an ethanologenic microorganism which has the ability to convert a sugar or oligosaccharide to ethanol.

30 Ethanologenic microorganisms are known in the art and include ethanologenic bacteria and yeast. The microorganisms are ethanologenic by virtue of their ability to express one or more enzymes which, individually or together, convert a sugar to ethanol. It is well known,

35 for example, that *Saccharomyces* (such as *S. cerevisiae*) are



employed in the conversion of glucose to ethanol. Other microorganisms that convert sugars to ethanol include species of *Schizosaccharomyces* (such as *S. pombe*), *Zymomonas* (including *Z. mobilis*), *Pichia* (*P. stipitis*),  
5 *Candida* (*C. shehatae*) and *Pachysolen* (*P. tannophilus*).

Preferred examples of ethanologenic microorganisms include ethanologenic microorganisms expressing alcohol dehydrogenase and pyruvate decarboxylase, such as can be obtained with or from *Zymomonas mobilis* (see U.S. Patent  
10 Nos. 5,000,000; 5,028,539; 5,424,202; and 5,482,846, all of which are incorporated herein by reference).

In another embodiment, the ethanologenic microorganism can express xylose reductase and xylitol dehydrogenase, which convert xylose to xylulose. Xylose isomerase  
15 converts xylose to xylulose, as well. The ethanologenic microorganism can further express xylulokinase, which catalyzes the conversion of xylulose to xylulose-5-phosphate. Additional enzymes to complete the pathway can include transaldolase and transketolase. These enzymes can  
20 be obtained or derived from *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella oxytoca* and *Erwinia* species. For example, see U.S. Patent No. 5,514,583.

It is particularly preferred to employ a microorganism which is capable of fermenting both pentoses and hexoses to  
25 ethanol, such as are obtained from preparing a recombinant organism which inherently possesses one set of enzymes and which is genetically engineered to contain a complementing set of enzymes. Examples of such microorganisms include those described in US Patent Nos. 5,000,000; 5,028,539;  
30 5,424,202; 5,482,846; 5,514,583; and Ho et al., WO 95/13362, all of which are incorporated herein by reference. Particularly preferred microorganisms include *Klebsiella oxytoca* P2 and *Escherichia coli* K011.

The conditions for converting sugars to ethanol are  
35 typically those described in the above referenced U.S.

Patents. Generally, the temperature is between about 30°C and 40°C and the pH is between about 5.0 and 7.0.

It is generally advantageous to add nutrients and/or cofactors for the microorganisms and/or enzymes to optimize the enzymatic conversions. For example, xylose reductase employs NADPH and xylitol dehydrogenase employs NAD as cofactors for their respective enzymatic actions. In contrast, bacterial xylose isomerase requires no co-factor for direct conversion of xylose to xylulose. It is also desirable to add, or subject the microorganism separately to, assimilable carbon, nitrogen and sulfur sources to promote growth. Many mediums in which to grow microorganisms are well known in the art, particularly Luria broth (LB) (Luria and Delbruk, 1943).

Where the ultrasound treatment is conducted in the presence of a microorganism, the ultrasound can be conducted at a frequency and duration such that a portion of all the microorganisms present are lysed or otherwise subjected to membrane disruption. Such a method can result in a controlled release of the enzymes from the microorganisms into the surrounding medium, thereby allowing the optimization of enzymes either alone or in conjunction with commercial enzymes and reduce the overall cost of commercial enzymes.

Examples of microorganisms containing desirable enzymes include those described in US Patent No. 5,424,202 to Ingram, et al. Other microorganisms are disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,028,539 to Ingram et al., 5,000,000 to Ingram et al., 5,487,989 to Fowler et al., 5,482,846 to Ingram et al., 5,554,520 to Fowler et al., 5,514,583 to Picataggio, et al., copending applications having U.S.S.N. 08/363,868 filed on December 27, 1994, U.S.S.N. 08/475,925 filed on June 7, 1995 and U.S.S.N. 08/218,914 filed on March 28, 1994 and standard texts such as, Ausubel et al., *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*, Wiley-Interscience,

New York (1988) (hereinafter "Ausubel et al."), Sambrook et al., *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*, Second and Third Edition, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press (1989 and 1992) (hereinafter "Sambrook et al.") and Bergey's  
5 *Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*, William & Wilkins Co., Baltimore (1984) (hereinafter "Bergey's Manual") the teachings of all of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety. Yet other embodiments include those described in USSN \_\_\_\_\_, filed concurrently  
10 herewith by Ingram et al. (Attorney Docket No. UF97-01) and USSN \_\_\_\_\_ by Ingram et al. (Attorney Docket No. UF97-02), which are incorporated herein by reference.

An example of a suitable device to deliver the ultrasound is Fisher Scientific's Model 550 Sonic  
15 Dismembrator, Telsonic Ultrasonic Tubular Resonator RS-20, Telsonic Ultrasonic-Generator RG-20, or Telsonic Tube Resonator System Series RG-36/RS-36. In one embodiment, an ultrasonic immersion horn can be used directly in the aqueous medium. Alternatively, the ultrasound can be  
20 emitted into a liquid filled vat in contact with a vat containing the aqueous medium (such as a first vat placed within a second vat, either of which can contain the aqueous medium). It may also be desirable, in a continuous system to flow the aqueous medium through a container, or  
25 vat, with the ultrasonic device which, continuously or discontinuously, emits ultrasound. In yet another embodiment, it can be desirable to control the temperature of the aqueous medium by surrounding the container, or vat, with cooling water, or other suitable heat exchange  
30 arrangement. It is within the ability of one of ordinary skill in the art to determine how to optimize the release of enzymes from microorganisms, said enzymes to be used alone or in conjunction with commercial enzymes, to achieve optimum ethanol production.

## Methods and Materials

The methods and materials described below were used in carrying out the work described in the examples which follow. For convenience and ease of understanding, the methods and materials section is divided into sub-headings as follows.

### Organism and Media

All fermentations of Mixed Waste Office Paper (MWOP) used *K. oxytoca* P2 as the biocatalyst. Luria broth (LB) (Luria and Delbruk, 1943) was used as the source of nutrients for all liquid and solid media. Solid media also contained 15 g/L agar and 20 g/L glucose. For the propagation of inoculum, liquid media containing 50 g/L glucose was used. Chloramphenicol (40 mg/L) was used as required for selection. Cultures were maintained on agar plates containing either 40 mg/L Cm or 600 mg/L Cm. The commercial cellulase Spezyme™CP (Genencor, South San Francisco, CA), a mixture of cellulase enzymes from *Trichoderma longibrachiatum* (formerly *T. reesei*), was used. Novozyme 188,  $\beta$ -glucosidase from *Aspergillus niger* (Novo-Nordisk, Franklinton NC) was also used in saccharification experiments.

### Enzyme Activity and Sugar Analysis

Endoglucanase activity was determined as previously described (Wood and Bhat, 1988). Cellulase mixtures were diluted in 50 mM citrate buffer, pH 5.2, containing 2% CMC and incubated at 35°C. Release of reducing sugars was determined by the DNS method as described (Chaplin, 1987). Cellobiase activities were determined by measuring the rate of p-nitrophenol (p-NP) release (Abs.<sub>410nm</sub>) from p-nitrophenyl- $\beta$ -D-glucoside (p-NPG) at pH 5.2, 35°C (Wood and Bhat, 1988). Enzyme solutions were diluted in 50 mM citrate buffer, pH 5.2, as required. One ml of diluted

enzyme was added to 1 ml 2 mM p-NPG and incubated at 35°C. Reactions were terminated with the addition of 1 M Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>.

#### Enhancement of Sugar Release from MWOP

125 g dry wt. shredded MWOP was added with 25 ml 18 N  
5 H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and 2 L H<sub>2</sub>O in a 3-liter stainless steel beaker. The  
slurry was allowed to react fully with the carbonate  
present in the paper (monitored by gas evolution). The pH  
was then adjusted to approximately 2.5 and autoclaved  
(121°C) for 20 minutes. After overnight cooling, 125 ml 1  
10 M sodium citrate was added and the volume was brought to  
2.5 L with H<sub>2</sub>O. The pH was adjusted to 5.2 and was placed  
in a constant temperature bath, 35°C. Mixing was done with  
a 750-mm Rushton-type radial flow impeller and a Ciambanco  
model BDC-1850 laboratory mixer. Five FPU Spezyme™CP per  
15 gram of paper (625 FPU/2.5 L) and 50 U Novozyme 188 per  
liter (250 U/ 2.5L) were also added. Units used were as  
reported by the manufacturer. Thymol, 0.5 g/L, and  
chloramphenicol, 40 mg/L was added to prevent microbial  
growth. Ultrasound was produced by a Telsonic 36 KHz Tube  
20 Resonator (>95% efficiency), model RS-36-30-1 with an  
accompanying model MRG-36-150 (150 W effective output)  
ultrasonic generator (Telsonic USA, Bridgeport, NJ). The  
frequency was tuned automatically. Treatment cycles were  
controlled by an SPER Scientific 810030 timer (Fisher  
25 Scientific Co., St. Louis, MO). Mixing speeds were  
constantly adjusted to the lowest setting that would allow  
mixing (600-75rpm).

#### Enzyme Stability

The enzyme preparations were diluted in 50 mM citrate  
30 buffer to concentrations equivalent to those used in the  
study of sugar release from MWOP, 250 FPU Spezyme™CP/L and  
50 U/L Novozyme 188. Solutions also contained 0.5 g/L  
thymol and 40 mg/L Cm to prevent microbial growth. The

enzyme mixture was stirred (120 RPM) for 15 minutes to ensure complete dispersal of the enzyme. Stirring was continued for 48 hours with or without continuous exposure to ultrasound. Samples were taken at 0, 12, 24, 36, and 48 hours. Enzyme activities were assayed as described above.

#### Cell Viability

To 1.75 L of LB containing 50 g/L glucose and 40 mg/L Cm, *K. oxytoca* P2 was used to inoculate to an initial cell density, measured as O.D.<sub>550nm</sub>, of 0.5. Growth was allowed to proceed for 12 hours with or without ultrasonic treatment. Samples were taken and dilutions were made to follow cell growth at 0, ¼, ½, 1, 2, 4, 8, and 12 hours. Optical density (O.D.<sub>550nm</sub>) and pH were measured on each sample. Dilutions were spread on agar plates (20 g/l glucose) and incubated overnight (30°C). Colony forming units (CFUs) were mounted as a measurement of cell viability.

#### Ultrastructural Effects

The change in the structure of the cellulose matrix of MWOP was investigated using a Hitachi S4000 scanning electron microscope. Samples were prepared by subjecting 2.5 L mixtures of 50 g/L MWOP in 50 mM citrate buffer, pH 5.2 and 35°C, to one hour of continuous ultrasound. Other samples were treated with cellulase for 4 hours. Control samples were taken before any treatment. All samples were dried and sputter coated with gold before being examined (Doran et al., 1994).

#### Cell Propagation

*K. oxytoca* P2 was transferred from a stock culture (-20°C) to agar plates with 20 g/L glucose and Cm (40 mg/l and 600 mg/l). An isolated colony was then transferred daily from the plate with 600 mg/L Cm to fresh plates

containing both concentrations of Cm. Isolated colonies from plates with 40 mg/L Cm were used to inoculate flasks with LB and 50 g/L glucose. Inoculated flasks were incubated overnight at 30°C after which they were harvested  
5 by centrifugation for further use.

#### SSF with Ultrasonic Treatment

Fermentations of MWOP were conducted in 14 L glass fermentation vessels (10 L working volume) using Multiferm™ fermentors models 100 and 200 (New Brunswick Sci. Co., NJ).  
10 Stainless steel head plates were modified by removing components that extended into the broth. Head plates were sanitized with 10 g/L formaldehyde by coating all surfaces with the formaldehyde while loosely enclosed in a large plastic autoclave bag. One kg, dry weight, shredded MWOP  
15 was placed in fermentation vessels with 8 L H<sub>2</sub>O and 110 ml 18 N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The mixture was autoclaved for one hour. After cooling, the slurry was further homogenized by vigorous mixing with a hand drill and a paint mixing attachment. After autoclaving for an additional one hour  
20 and subsequent cooling, 5 FPU Spezyme™CP/ g MWOP, 1 L 10X LB (pH 5.0) and H<sub>2</sub>O was added to a final volume of 10 L. This solution was partially mixed by hand, using a sterilized industrial baking whisk, to disperse the enzymes and nutrients. Cells were added to an initial O.D.<sub>550nm</sub> of  
25 0.5. Ultrasonic treatments were as described above. Because of its nonhomogeneous nature, no samples were taken for an initial ethanol determination. Samples were taken at 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours.

#### EXAMPLE 1 - Analysis of Enhanced Rates of Sugar Release

30 Using the methods and materials outlined above for "Enhancement of Sugar Release from MWOP," it was found that with the use of ultrasonic energy the rate of enzymatic hydrolysis was increased up to 40%. When sugar release

with ultrasonic treatment 15 minutes every four hours is compared with treatment every two hours, a strong correlation between the amount of ultrasonic energy and sugar release is found. The increased rate of sugar  
5 release is due to a stimulation of enzymatic activity, not a physical or chemical hydrolysis by reactive byproducts from the sonolysis of water, as illustrated by the experiments without enzymes added. Interestingly, with continuous ultrasonic treatment, the rate of the hydrolysis  
10 goes down. Results are set forth in table format in Table 1.

10027250 133101  
T0122T 0522001



Table 1. Effects of ultrasonic cavitation on enzymatic hydrolysis of mixed waste office paper.

Ultrasonic treatment <sup>a</sup>	Number of Experiments	Energy input (W)	Glucose equivalents <sup>b,c</sup> (mM)		
			@ 24h	36h	48h
No ultrasound	3	0	88.1 ± 6.1	98.3 ± 6.1	106.9 ± 7.8
15 min. Per 4 h	3	9.37	96.5 ± 6.4	113.6 ± 8.0	128.3 ± 8.4
15 min. Per 2 h	3	18.75	115.5 ± 14.3	133.2 ± 10.6	149.0 ± 11.0
Continuous	3	150	98.1 ± 2.5	112.5 ± 5.5	126.6 ± 2.1
Continuous (no enzyme)	2	150	0.67	0.67	0.58

<sup>a</sup> Ultrasonic treatments were automatically controlled to turn on and off at stated intervals.

<sup>b</sup> Experiments contained 5 FPU Spezyme<sup>TM</sup>CP and 10 IU Novozyme 188 /g MWOP.

<sup>c</sup> Based on analysis of reducing termini and assuming all are monomeric.

EXAMPLE 2 - Enzyme Stability

Using the methods and materials outlined above for "Enzyme Stability," it was found that ultrasonic treatment did not affect the stability of the added cellulase or  $\beta$ -glucosidase, as depicted in Figure 1. Both activities remained quite stable even with continuous exposure to ultrasound. The apparent increase in  $\beta$ -glucosidase may be due to the dispersal of protein aggregates in the highly concentrated, commercial, enzyme preparation.

10 EXAMPLE 3 - Cell Viability

Using the methods and materials outlined above for "Cell Viability," it was found that ultrasonic treatment appeared to be nonlethal, but was inhibitory to growth, as shown in Figures 2A and 2B. This observation may be due in part to an induction of an SOS response by the cells. This was further supported by the observations of pH, which slightly increased (pH 6.9 from an initial pH 6.7). Additionally, it was observed that the relative turbidity of the broth had little change throughout the exposure to ultrasound. Meanwhile, without ultrasonic treatment, a classical growth curve was observed.

EXAMPLE 4 - Effects on SSF

Using the methods and materials outlined above for "SSF with Ultrasonic Treatment," the combination of *K. oxytoca* P2 with ultrasonic treatment resulted in as much as a 15% increase in ethanol yields. Ethanol production from waste office paper treated with ultrasound and *K. oxytoca* P2 is summarized in Table 2. As might be expected from the inhibition of cell growth, increased ultrasonic treatment results in reduced ethanol production. Treatment every two hours may not be significantly different from treatment every four hours, however, a statistically significant

difference between ultrasonic treatment every four hours  
and no treatment was found.

100272500-100272500

Table 2. Effects of ultrasonic treatment on ethanol production in SSF of MWOP using K. oxytoca P2 as the biocatalyst

Ultrasonic treatment	Replicates	[Enzyme] <sup>a</sup> (FFU/g MWOP)	[Ethanol] (g/L)				Yield <sup>b,c</sup> (GE/g Cellulose)
			24h	48h	72h	96h	
None	2	10	15.7	27.3	33.5	35.3	0.39
None	4	5	9.5 ± 2.3	19.0 ± 2.7	25.7 ± 2.5	29.4 ± 2.9	0.33
15 min. Per 4h (9.37 W)	5	5	14.3 ± 2.0	26.1 ± 1.3	31.3 ± 1.3	34.0 ± 1.9	0.38
15 min per 2h (18.75 W)	2	5	13.4	23.4	28.8	31.4	0.35
Continuous (150 W)	2	5	10.2	11.2	11.3	11.3	0.13

<sup>a</sup> Enzyme added was Spezyme<sup>TM</sup>CP Cellulase (Genencor, Inc. South San Francisco, CA), Enzyme activity was determined by the manufacturer.

<sup>b</sup> MWOP contains approximately 90% Cellulose (Brooks and Ingram, 1995.)

<sup>c</sup> Theoretical maximum yield is 0.568 g ethanol per g cellulose.

<sup>d</sup> Unpaired t-tests show these results to be statistically different (p=0.0224).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Allison, D.G., A. D'Emanuele, and A.R. Williams. "The effect of ultrasound on *Escherichia coli* viability" 1996. *J. Basic Microbiol.* 36(1):3-11.
- 5 Atchley, A.A., and L.A. Crum. "Chapter 1: Acoustic cavitation and bubble dynamics" Ultrasound: Its Chemical, Physical, and Biological Effects. K.S. Suslick ed. VCH, New York, N.Y. 1988.
- 10 Ausubel, F.M., R. Brent, R.E. Kingston, D.D. More, J.G. Seidman, J.A. Smith, and K. Struhl. Current Protocols in Molecular Biology. Green Publishing and Wiley Interscience, New York, N.Y. 1996
- 15 Boudjouk, P. "Chapter 5. Heterogeneous sonochemistry" Ultrasound: Its Chemical, Physical, and Biological Effects. K.S. Suslick ed. VCH, New York, N.Y. 1988.
- Brooks, T.A. and L.O. Ingram. "Conversion of mixed office paper to ethanol by genetically engineered *Klebsiella oxytoca* strain P2" 1995. *Biotechnol.Prog.* 11(6): 619-625
- 20 Doran, J.B., H.C. Aldrich, and L.O. Ingram. "Saccharification and fermentation of sugar cane bagasse by *Klebsiella oxytoca* P2 containing chromosomally integrated genes encoding the *Zymomonas mobilis* ethanol pathway" 1994. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 25 44:240-247.
- Gusakov, A.V., A.P. Sinitsyn, I.Y. Davydkin, V.Y. Davydkin. and O.V. Protas. "Enhancement of enzymatic cellulose hydrolysis using a novel type of bioreactor with

intensive stirring induced by electromagnetic field"  
1996. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 56:141-153.

Hohmann, N., and C.M Rendleman. Emerging Technologies in  
Ethanol Production USDA Ag. Info. Bulletin no. 663.  
5 U.S. Department of Agriculture: Washington D.C.  
January 1993.

Ingram, L.O. and J.B. Doran. "Conversion of cellulosic  
materials to ethanol" 1995. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.*  
16:235-241

10 Ingram, L.O., D.S. Beall, G.F.H. Burchardt, W.V. Guimaraes,  
K. Ohta, B.E. Wood, and K.T. Shanmugam. "Ethanol  
production by recombinant hosts" 1995. U.S. Patent  
No.5,424,202.

Ingram, L.O., T. Conway, and F. Altermann. "Ethanol  
15 production by *Escherichia coli* strains co-expressing  
*Zymomonas pds* and *adh* genes" 1991. U.S. Patent No.  
5,000,000.

Kaya, F., J.A. Heitmann, Jr., and T.W. Joyce. "Cellulase  
binding to cellulose fibers in high shear fields"  
20 1994. *J. Biotech.* 36:1-10.

Leeman, S., and P.W. Vaughn. "Cavitation phenomena"  
Current Trends in Sonochemistry. G.J. Price ed. Royal  
Society of Chemistry Special Publication No. 116.  
Royal Society of Chemistry:Cambridge U.K. 1992.

TOP SECRET "052200T

Nazhad, M.M., L.P. Ramos, L. Paszner, and J.N. Sadler.  
"Structural constraints affecting the initial  
enzymatic hydrolysis of recycled paper" 1995. *Enz.*  
*Microb. Tech.* 17:68-74.

- 5 Norman, J.C., N.J. Sell, and M. Danelski. "Deinking  
laser-print paper using ultrasound" 1994. *TAPPI J.*  
77:151-158.

Price, G.J. "Introduction to sonochemistry" Current Trends  
in Sonochemistry. G.J. Price ed. Royal Society of  
10 Chemistry Special Publication No. 116. Royal Society  
of Chemistry:Cambridge U.K. 1992.

Scott, W.E. and P. Gerber. "Using ultrasound to deink  
xerographic waste" 1995. *TAPPI J.* 78:125-130.

- 15 Sell, N.J., J.C. Norman, and D. Jayaprakash. "Deinking  
secondary fiber using ultrasound" 1995. *Progress in  
Paper Recycling*. Aug. p.28-34.

Sheehan, J.J. "Chapter 1. Bioconversion for production of  
renewable transportation fuels in the United States:  
a strategic perspective" Enzymatic Conversion of  
20 Biomass for Fuels Production. Himmel, M.E.; Baker,  
J.O.; Overend, R.P. eds. ACS Symposium Series 566.  
American Chemical Society: Washington D.C. 1993.

Shoh, A. "Chapter 3. Industrial applications of ultrasound"  
Ultrasound:Its Chemical, Physical, and Biological  
25 Effects. K.S. Suslick ed. VCH, New York, N.Y. 1988.

Suslick, K.S. "Chapter 4. Homogeneous sonochemistry"  
Ultrasound: Its Chemical, Physical, and Biological  
Effects. K.S. Suslick ed. VCH, New York, N.Y. 1988.  
123-146.

5 Suslick, K.S. "Sonochemistry" 1990. *Science*. 247:1439-1441.

Suslick, K.S. "The Chemical Effects of Ultrasound" 1989.  
*Scientific American*. Feb. p. 80-86.

10 Volmer, A.C., I.R.S. Maken and E.C. Everbach. "Induction of  
the heat shock response in *Escherichia coli* by the  
effects of acoustic cavitation from Ultrasound" Abstr.  
I-85, p. 317. Abstr. 96th Annu. Meet. Am. Soc.  
Microbiol. American Society for Microbiology,  
Washington D.C. 1996.

15 Wang, D., M. Sakakibara, N. Kaoyuki, and K. Suzuki.  
"Ultrasound enhanced lactose hydrolysis in milk  
fermentation with *Lactobacillus bulgaricus*" 1996. *J.*  
*Chem Tech. Biotechnol.* 65:86-92.

Wood, T.M. and K.M. Bhat. "Methods for measuring cellulase  
activities" 1988. *Methods in Enzymology*. 160:87-144.



# EQUIVALENTS

Those skilled in the art will recognize, or be able to ascertain using no more than routine experimentation, many equivalents to the specific embodiments of the invention  
5 described herein. Such equivalents are intended to be encompassed by the following claims.

10037350-123401